

©2008. **A.Val. Antoniouk**

C^∞ -REGULARITY OF NON-LIPSCHITZ HEAT SEMIGROUPS ON NONCOMPACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

We obtain the applications of approach [2, 5, 6] to the high order regularity of solutions to the parabolic Cauchy problem with globally non-Lipschitz coefficients growing at the infinity of a noncompact manifold.

In comparison to [2], where the semigroup properties were studied by application of nonlinear estimates on variations with use of local arguments of [11], i.e. for manifolds with the C^2 metric distance function, the developed below approach works for the general noncompact manifold with possible non-unique geodesics between distant points.

Keywords and phrases: heat parabolic equations, nonlinear diffusions on manifolds, regular properties

MSC (2000): 35K05, 47J20, 53B21, 58J35, 60H07, 60H10, 60H30

1.Introduction.

Below we discuss C^∞ -regularity with respect to the space parameter x for solutions of the second order heat parabolic equation

$$\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^d \left(\langle A_\sigma(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle \right)^2 + \langle A_0(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \rangle \right\} u(t, x), \quad (1)$$

$$(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times M$$

with initial data $u(0, x) = f(x)$. The nonlinear, growing on the infinity coefficients A_σ , A_0 represent C^∞ smooth vector fields on the noncompact oriented C^∞ -smooth complete connected Riemannian manifold without boundary M .

To obtain C^∞ -regularity properties of solutions to heat equations (1) in the spaces of continuously differentiable functions we use that the solution to (1) can be represented

$$u(t, x) = (P_t f)(x) = \mathbf{E} f(y_t^x) \quad (2)$$

as a mean \mathbf{E} of solution to the heat diffusion equation in the Ito-

Research is partially supported by grants of National Committee on Science and Technology Ukraine

Stratonovich form

$$\delta y_t^x = A_0(y_t^x)dt + \sum_{\sigma=1}^d A_\sigma(y_t^x)\delta W_t^\sigma, \quad y_0^x = x. \quad (3)$$

Here W^σ denote the independent Wiener processes on \mathbb{R}^d , the mean \mathbf{E} is taken with respect to the corresponding Wiener measure on space $\Omega = C_0([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^d)$. Under solutions to (3) it is understood a continuous adapted integrable random process $\mathbb{R}_+ \times M \ni (t, x) \rightarrow y_t^x \in M$ such that $\forall f \in C_0^\infty(M)$

$$f(y_t^x) = f(x) + \int_0^t (A_0 f)(y_s^x)ds + \sum_{\sigma=1}^d \int_0^t (A_\sigma f)(y_s^x)\delta W_s^\sigma. \quad (4)$$

Since $f(y_t^x)$ and $(A_\sigma f)(y_t^x)$ are \mathbb{R}^1 -valued process, (4) represents Stratonovich equation on real line \mathbb{R}^1 .

The approach of diffusion processes relates the C^∞ properties of semigroup P_t with the regularity properties of process y_t^x since

1. from representation (2) and majorant theorem it is evident that for continuous with respect to the initial data process $x \rightarrow y_t^x$ from $f \in C_b(M)$ follows that the solution $u(t, x) = (P_t f)(x)$ to (1) is also a continuous bounded function $u(t, x) \in C_b(M)$ for all $t > 0$

and

2. due to (2) the derivatives of solution $u(t, x)$ with respect to the space parameter $x \in M$ can be directly expressed via the derivatives of initial function f and derivatives of process y_t^x with respect to the initial data x .

The already known approaches to the high order regularity of solutions to (1), e.g. [8] and references within, were based on the interpretation of the high order derivatives of process $x \rightarrow y_t^x$ with respect to the initial data x as elements $y_t^{(n)}(x) = T^{(n)}y_t^x$ of the high order tangent bundles $T^n M$. However, due to the complicate structure of the high order tangent bundles, the actual study of the high order regularity of process y_t^x and its semigroup P_t was conducted in the local coordinate vicinities of manifold, leading to the globally Lipschitz assumptions on the coefficients A_σ , A_0 and boundedness of curvature and all their derivatives. In comparison to

the case of linear manifold $M = \mathbb{R}^n$, when under some monotone assumptions on the coefficients of diffusion equation [10, 12] it is possible to have a nonlinear growth of coefficients on the infinity, the elaborated approach to the C^∞ -regularity of diffusions on noncompact manifolds has not permitted to single out some kind of monotone conditions.

In [2, 3] we noticed that, since, evolving in time, process y_t^x travels through different coordinate vicinities of manifold, its first order derivative with respect to the initial data $\frac{\partial y_t^x}{\partial x} = \left\{ \frac{\partial (y_t^x)^m}{\partial x^k} \right\}_{m,k=1}^{\dim M}$ represents a covector field with respect to the local coordinates (x^k) in the vicinity of initial point x and becomes a vector field with respect to the local coordinates (y^m) in the vicinity where now travels process y_t^x , i.e. $\frac{\partial y_t^x}{\partial x} \in T_{y_t^x}^{1,0} M \otimes T_x^{0,1} M$.

To preserve this tensorial invariance property with respect to the coordinate systems (y^m) in the image of flow $x \rightarrow y_t^x$, the new high order variations $\left\{ \mathbb{V}^{(n)} y_t^x \right\}$ of process y_t^x were introduced

$$\begin{aligned} 1^{st} \text{ variation.} \quad & \left\{ \mathbb{V}^{(1)} y_t^x \right\}_k^m = \frac{\partial (y_t^x)^m}{\partial x^k}, \\ \text{high variations.} \quad & \left\{ \mathbb{V}^{(n+1)} y_t^x \right\}_{k,j_1,\dots,j_n}^m = \\ & = \nabla_k^x \left\{ \mathbb{V}^{(n)} y_t^x \right\}_{j_1,\dots,j_n}^m + \Gamma_{p,q}^m(y_t^x) \left\{ \mathbb{V}^{(n)} y_t^x \right\}_{j_1,\dots,j_n}^p \frac{\partial (y_t^x)^q}{\partial x^k}, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\nabla_k^x \left\{ \mathbb{V}^{(n)} y_t^x \right\}_{j_1,\dots,j_n}^m$ denotes a classical covariant derivative on variable x

$$\nabla_k^x \left\{ \mathbb{V}^{(n)} y_t^x \right\}_\gamma^m = \partial_k^x \left\{ \mathbb{V}^{(n)} y_t^x \right\}_\gamma^m - \sum_{j \in \gamma} \Gamma_{k,j}^h(x) \left\{ \mathbb{V}^{(n)} y_t^x \right\}_{\gamma|_{j=h}}^m \quad (6)$$

and $\left\{ \mathbb{V}^{(n)} y_t^x \right\}_{\gamma|_{j=h}}^m$ means substitution of index j in multi-index $\gamma = \{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$ by h . Above indexes m, p, q correspond to the coordinates in the vicinities, where travels process y_t^x , indexes k, j, h to the coordinate vicinities of initial data x .

In comparison to the approach of high order tangent bundles and due to the presence of additional connection term $\Gamma(y_t^x)$ in (5), the n^{th} order variation now represents a vector field with respect to

variable y_t^x and n^{th} order covariant field with respect to variable x

$$\mathbb{W}^{(n)}y_t^x = \{\mathbb{W}_\gamma(y_t^x)^m\}_{|\gamma|=n} \in T_{y_t^x}M \otimes (T_x^*M)^{\otimes n}$$

i.e. it is understood as a tensor and does not belong to the high order tangent bundle $T^{(n)}M$.

In this article we are going to apply results of [5, 6] to the high order regularity of semigroup. The main tool for research gives the following relation between high order covariant derivatives of semigroup and initial function [2]

$$\nabla_x^{(n)}P_t f(x) = \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_\ell=n, \ell \geq 1} \mathbf{E} \langle \nabla_{y_t^x}^{(\ell)} f(y_t^x), \mathbb{W}^{(j_1)}y_t^x \otimes \dots \otimes \mathbb{W}^{(j_\ell)}y_t^x \rangle_{T_{y_t^x}^{0,\ell}M}, \tag{7}$$

where arise variations $\mathbb{W}_x^{(n)}y_t^x$.

Unfortunately, the approach of nonlinear estimates on variations [2] works only for manifolds with smooth Riemannian structures, in particular, when the metric distance function is twice continuously differentiable. It is not so for many C^∞ manifolds with non-unique geodesics between distant points, therefore the approach of [2] should be modified.

The main result is the following. Suppose that the coefficients of diffusion equation (3) and curvature of manifolds fulfill the following conditions:

- **coercitivity:** $\exists o \in M$ such that $\forall C \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists K_C \in \mathbb{R}^1$ such that $\forall x \in M$

$$\langle \widetilde{A}_0(x), \nabla^x \rho^2(o, x) \rangle + C \sum_{\sigma=1}^d \|A_\sigma(x)\|^2 \leq K_C(1 + \rho^2(o, x)), \tag{8}$$

where $\rho(x, o)$ denotes the shortest geodesic distance between points $x, o \in M$;

- **dissipativity:** $\forall C, C' \in \mathbb{R}_+ \exists K_C \in \mathbb{R}^1$ such that $\forall x \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \forall h \in T_x M \quad & \langle \nabla \widetilde{A}_0(x)[h], h \rangle + C \sum_{\sigma=1}^d \|\nabla A_\sigma(x)[h]\|^2 - \\ & - C' \sum_{\sigma=1}^d \langle R_x(A_\sigma(x), h)A_\sigma(x), h \rangle \leq K_C \|h\|^2, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $\widetilde{A}_0 = A_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma=1}^d \nabla_{A_\sigma} A_\sigma$ and

$$[R(A, B)C]^m = R_i{}^m{}_{jk} A^i B^j C^k$$

denotes curvature operator, related with curvature tensor

$$R_{i j k}^m = \frac{\partial \Gamma_{i j}^m}{\partial x^k} - \frac{\partial \Gamma_{i k}^m}{\partial x^j} + \Gamma_{i j}^\ell \Gamma_{\ell k}^m - \Gamma_{i k}^\ell \Gamma_{\ell j}^m.$$

Due to the presence of additional curvature term conditions (8)-(9) generalize the classical dissipativity and coercitivity conditions [10, 12] from the linear Euclidean space to manifold and represent a kind of monotonicity condition for diffusion processes.

- **nonlinear behaviour of coefficients and curvature:** for any n there are constants \mathbf{k}_\bullet such that for all $j = 1, \dots, n$ and $\forall x \in M$

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nabla)^j \widetilde{A}_0(x)\| &\leq (1 + \rho(x, o))^{\mathbf{k}_0}, \\ \|(\nabla)^j A_\alpha(x)\| &\leq (1 + \rho(x, o))^{\mathbf{k}_\alpha}, \\ \|(\nabla)^j R(x)\| &\leq (1 + \rho(x, o))^{\mathbf{k}_R}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Let $\vec{q}_{\mathbf{k}} = (q_0, q_1, \dots, q_n)$, $q_i \geq 1$ be a family of monotone functions on \mathbb{R}_+ of polynomial behaviour, that fulfill hierarchy

$$\forall i \geq 1 \quad q_i(b)(1+b)^{\mathbf{k}/2} \leq q_{i+1}(b) \quad \forall b \geq 0, \quad (11)$$

related with some parameter \mathbf{k} .

Denote by $C_{\vec{q}(\mathbf{k})}^n(M)$ the space of n -times continuously covariantly differentiable functions on M , equipped with a norm

$$\|f\|_{C_{\vec{q}(\mathbf{k})}^n(M)} = \max_{i=0, \dots, n} \sup_{x \in M} \frac{\|(\nabla^x)^i f(x)\|}{q_i(\rho^2(x, o))}. \quad (12)$$

Theorem 1. *Under conditions (8)-(10) for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is \mathbf{k} such that the scale of spaces $C_{\vec{q}(\mathbf{k})}^n(M)$ is preserved under the action of semigroup*

$$\forall t \geq 0 \quad P_t : C_{\vec{q}(\mathbf{k})}^n(M) \rightarrow C_{\vec{q}(\mathbf{k})}^n(M)$$

and there are constants K, M such that

$$\forall f \in C_{\vec{q}}^n(M) \quad \|P_t f\|_{C_{\vec{q}}^n(M)} \leq K e^{Mt} \|f\|_{C_{\vec{q}}^n(M)}. \quad (13)$$

Proof is conducted in the following Sections.

2. Preliminary study of C^∞ -regularity of process y_t^x with respect to the initial data x .

Since by (7) the existence of the high order derivatives of semi-group P_t is related with C^∞ -regularity of process y_t^x with respect to x , we first discuss the necessary regular properties of variations.

Let us introduce a necessary definition for the parallel transport $\mathbf{\Pi}_{a^b}^{h, y_t^h}$ of high order variations. It is specially designed in order to preserve the tensorial transformation law of $T_{y_t^{h(z)}}^{1,0} M \otimes T_{h(z)}^{0,n} M$ -tensors in the both domain and image of diffusion flow $x \rightarrow y_t^x$, when such tensors move along random path $[a, b] \ni z \rightarrow (h(z), y_t^{h(z)}) \in M \times M$.

Definition 2. The parallel transport of tensor $u_{(h(a), y_t^{h(a)})} \in T_{h(a)}^{p,q} M \otimes T_{y_t^{h(a)}}^{r,s} M$ from point $(h(a), y_t^{h(a)})$ along path $(h(\cdot), y_t^{h(\cdot)}) \in Lip([a, b], M)$ represents a $T_{h(z)}^{p,q} M \otimes T_{y_t^{h(z)}}^{r,s} M$ -tensor at each point $(h(z), y_t^{h(z)})$, $z \in$

$[a, b]$ of this path. It is denoted by $\mathbf{\Pi}_{(h(a), y_t^{h(a)})}^{h, y_t^h} (h(z), y_t^{h(z)}) u_{(h(a), y_t^{h(a)})} = \Psi(z)$ and for its absolute derivative

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{D}{Dz} \Psi_{(j/\beta)}^{(i/\alpha)}(z) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \Psi_{(j/\beta)}^{(i/\alpha)}(z) + \\ & + \sum_{s=1}^i \Gamma_k^{i_s} (h(z)) \Psi_{(j/\beta)}^{i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, k, i_{s+1}, \dots, i_p/\alpha}(z) [h'(z)]^\ell - \\ & - \sum_{s=1}^j \Gamma_{j_s}^k (h(z)) \Psi_{j_1, \dots, j_{s-1}, k, j_{s+1}, \dots, j_q/\beta}(z) + \\ & + \sum_{\ell=1}^r \Gamma_m^{\alpha_\ell} (y_t^{h(z)}) \Psi_{(j/\beta)}^{(i/\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{\ell-1}, m, \alpha_{\ell+1}, \dots, \alpha_r)}(z) \frac{\partial (y_t^{h(z)})^n}{\partial h(z)^k} [h'(z)]^k - \\ & - \sum_{\ell=1}^s \Gamma_{\beta_\ell}^m (y_t^{h(z)}) \Psi_{(j/\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{\ell-1}, m, \beta_{\ell+1}, \dots, \beta_s)}^{(i/\alpha)}(z) \frac{\partial (y_t^{h(z)})^n}{\partial h(z)^k} [h'(z)]^k \end{aligned}$$

the norm $\| \frac{D}{Dz} \Psi(z) \|_{T_{h(z)}^{p,q} M \otimes T_{y_t^{h(z)}}^{r,s} M} = 0$ vanishes in $L^\infty([a, b])$ for a.e. random $\omega \in \Omega$. Here multi-indexes $(i) = (i_1, \dots, i_p)$, $(j) = (j_1, \dots, j_q)$ correspond to the $T_{h(z)}^{p,q}$ -tensoriness of $\Psi(z)$, correspondingly $(\alpha) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r)$, $(\beta) = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s)$ to $T_{y_t^{h(z)}}^{r,s}$ -tensoriness of $\Psi(z)$ in the domain and image of mapping $x \rightarrow y_t^x$.

Remark that the first two lines in the definition of the absolute derivative $\frac{\mathbb{D}}{\mathbb{D}z}\Psi_{(j/\beta)}^{(i/\alpha)}(z)$ along path $\{h(z), y_t^{h(z)}\}_{z \in [a,b]}$ correspond to the classical absolute derivative $\frac{D}{Dz}\Psi_{(j/\beta)}^{(i/\alpha)}(z)$ along path $\{h(z)\}_{z \in [a,b]}$. The remaining two lines make the resulting expression to become the invariantly defined tensor with respect to the coordinate transformations in vicinities, where travels process $\{y_t^{h(z)}\}_{z \in [a,b]}$.

Using the autoparallel property of the Riemannian connection

$$\partial_k g_{ij}(x) = \Gamma_k^\ell{}_i(x) g_{\ell j}(x) + \Gamma_k^\ell{}_j(x) g_{i\ell}(x), \quad (14)$$

it is easy to check that the derivative of scalar product of $T_x^{p,q}M \otimes T_{y_t^x}^{r,s}M$ -tensors can be expressed in terms of the new type absolute derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} \langle u(h(z)), v(h(z)) \rangle_{T_{h(z)}^{p,q}M \otimes T_{y_t^{h(z)}}^{r,s}M} &= \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\mathbb{D}}{\mathbb{D}z} u(h(z)), v(h(z)) \right\rangle_{T_{h(z)}^{p,q}M \otimes T_{y_t^{h(z)}}^{r,s}M} + \\ &+ \langle u(h(z)), \frac{\mathbb{D}}{\mathbb{D}z} v(h(z)) \rangle_{T_{h(z)}^{p,q}M \otimes T_{y_t^{h(z)}}^{r,s}M} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

and parallel transport operator $\mathbf{\Gamma}^{h, y_t^h}$ constitutes a group $\forall c, d, e \in [a, b]$ $\mathbf{\Gamma}_d^e \mathbf{\Gamma}_c^d = \mathbf{\Gamma}_c^e$.

Then, taking any mixed tensor $\psi_{h(a)} \in T_{h(a)}^{p,q} \otimes T_{y_t^{h(a)}}^{r,s}$ at point $(h(a), y_t^{h(a)})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} \langle \psi_{h(a)}, \mathbf{\Gamma}_z^a u_{h(z)} \rangle_{T_{h(z)}^{p,q} \otimes T_{y_t^{h(z)}}^{r,s}} &= \frac{d}{dz} \langle \mathbf{\Gamma}_z^a \psi_{h(a)}, u_{h(z)} \rangle_{T_{h(z)}^{p,q} \otimes T_{y_t^{h(z)}}^{r,s}} = \\ &= \left\langle \mathbf{\Gamma}_z^a \psi_{h(a)}, \frac{\mathbb{D}}{\mathbb{D}z} u_{h(z)} \right\rangle_{T_{h(z)}^{p,q} \otimes T_{y_t^{h(z)}}^{r,s}} = \left\langle \psi_{h(a)}, \mathbf{\Gamma}_z^a \left[\frac{\mathbb{D}}{\mathbb{D}z} u_{h(z)} \right] \right\rangle_{T_{h(z)}^{p,q} \otimes T_{y_t^{h(z)}}^{r,s}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used that the derivative of parallel transport vanishes

$$\frac{\mathbb{D}}{\mathbb{D}z} \mathbf{\Gamma}_z^a \psi_{h(a)} = 0.$$

Integrating on variable $z \in [a, b]$ we obtain

$$\langle \psi_{h(a)}, \int_a^b \mathbf{\Gamma}_z^a \left[\frac{\mathbb{D}}{\mathbb{D}z} u_{h(z)} \right] dz \rangle = \int_a^b \frac{d}{dz} \langle \psi_{h(a)}, \mathbf{\Gamma}_z^a u_{h(z)} \rangle dz =$$

$$= \langle \psi_{h(a)}, \mathbf{\Pi}_z^a u_{h(z)} \rangle \Big|_{z=a}^{z=b} = \langle \psi_{h(a)}, \mathbf{\Pi}_b^a u_{h(b)} - u_{h(a)} \rangle .$$

Since $\psi_{h(a)}$ was arbitrary, this implies the invariant formula for the increment of mixed tensors along Lipschitz paths

$$\mathbf{\Pi}_b^a u_{h(b)} - u_{h(a)} = \int_a^b \mathbf{\Pi}_z^a \left[\frac{\mathbb{D}u_{h(z)}}{\mathbb{D}z} \right] dz \tag{16}$$

and, in particular, recovers a sense of the new type mixed absolute derivative of $T_h^{p,q} \otimes T_{y_t}^{r,s}$ -tensors

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dz} \mathbf{\Pi}_z^a u_{h(z)} &= \mathbf{\Pi}_z^a \left[\frac{\mathbb{D}u_{h(z)}}{\mathbb{D}z} \right] \quad \text{or} \\ \frac{\mathbb{D}u_{h(z)}}{\mathbb{D}z} &= \mathbf{\Pi}_z^a \left[\frac{d}{dz} \mathbf{\Pi}_z^a u_{h(z)} \right], \quad z \in [a, b]. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Therefore, since the high order variations $\mathbb{V}^{(n)}y_t^x$ represent a particular case of $T_x^{0,n} \otimes T_{y_t}^{1,0}$ -tensors, they should be related by similar to (16) formulas. To find the sufficient monotone conditions on the existence of the high order derivatives $\mathbb{V}^{(n)}y_t^x$ of process $x \rightarrow y_t^x$ we first construct the solutions $y_{t,x}^{(n)}$ of the associated with (3) variational system and then verify that they represent the high order \mathbb{V} -derivatives: $y_{t,x}^{(n)} = \mathbb{V}^{(n)}y_t^x, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

The main result about the C^∞ -regularity of process y_t^x follows. Here we also precise the influence of nonlinearity parameter \mathbf{k} (10) on the growth of high order derivatives.

Lemma 3. *Under the conditions of Theorem 1 the new type variations are related by a.e. integral formulas $\forall f \in C_0^\infty(M), \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$f(y_t^{h(b)}) - f(y_t^{h(a)}) = \int_a^b \langle \nabla f(y_t^{h(z)}), \mathbb{V}y_t^{h(z)}[h'(z)] \rangle_{T_{y_t^{h(z)}}} dz, \tag{18}$$

$$\mathbb{V}^{(n)}y_t^{h(b)} - \mathbf{\Pi}_a^b \left[\mathbb{V}^{(n)}y_t^{h(a)} \right] = \int_a^b \mathbf{\Pi}_z^b \left[\left[\mathbb{V}^{(n+1)}y_t^{h(z)} \right] [h'(z)] \right] dz \tag{19}$$

for any Lipschitz continuous path $h \in Lip([a, b], M)$.

Moreover, they fulfill estimates

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \exists M_n \quad \mathbf{E} \|\mathbb{V}^{(n)}y_t^x\|^{2q} \leq e^{2qM_n t} (1 + \rho^2(x, o))^{q(n-1)\mathbf{k}}. \tag{20}$$

Remark that estimate (20) actually replaces the tool of nonlinear estimate on variations, discussed e.g. in [2], for manifolds with not everywhere C^2 -smooth square of metric distance function $\rho^2(x, z)$, $(x, z) \in M \times M$.

Proof. First note that under conditions of Theorem 1 there is a unique strong solution y_t^x to equation (3), which fulfills estimates on the boundedness and continuity: $\exists M \forall q \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} [5, \text{Th.5}]: \quad & \mathbf{E} (1 + \rho^2(y_t^x, o))^q \leq e^{Mqt} (1 + \rho^2(x, o))^q, \\ [6, \text{Th.6}]: \quad & \mathbf{E} \rho^{2q}(y_t^x, y_t^z) \leq e^{Mqt} \rho^{2q}(x, z). \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Moreover, relation (18) was proved in [6, Th.8].

It remains to demonstrate (19) and estimate (20). Remark that estimate (20) for $i = 1$ gives an alternative proof of [6, Th.7].

Recall that the differential equations on variations have form [2, Th.9]

$$\delta([\nabla y_t^x]_\gamma^m) = -\Gamma_{p\ q}^m(y_t^x) [\nabla y_t^x]_\gamma^p \delta y^q + M_{\gamma\ \alpha}^m \delta W^\alpha + N_\gamma^m dt \quad (22)$$

with coefficients $M_{\gamma\ \alpha}^m$, N_γ^m , determined by

1. recurrence base for $|\gamma| = 1$, $\gamma = \{k\}$:

$$M_k^m = \nabla_\ell A_\alpha^m(y_t^x) \nabla_k y^\ell, \quad N_k^m = \nabla_\ell A_0^m(y_t^x) \nabla_k y^\ell; \quad (23)$$

2. recurrence step

$$M_{\gamma \cup \{k\}}^m = \nabla_k M_\gamma^m + R_{p\ \ell q}^m(\nabla_\gamma y^p)(\nabla_k y^\ell) A_\alpha^q, \quad (24)$$

$$N_{\gamma \cup \{k\}}^m = \nabla_k N_\gamma^m + R_{p\ \ell q}^m(\nabla_\gamma y^p)(\nabla_k y^\ell) A_0^q. \quad (25)$$

The unique strong solution of variational system (22) can be constructed either by gluing together the solutions of variational equations, localized to the local coordinate vicinities of $U \subset M$ on the random time intervals of entering and leaving such vicinities, or with the use of monotone approximations of system (22), similar to [1].

Taking the differential of norm of variational process we have [2, Lemma 10]

$$\begin{aligned} d\|\nabla^{(i)} y_t^x\|^2 &= g^{\gamma\varepsilon}(x) \{ g_{mn}(\nabla_\gamma y^m M_\varepsilon^n + \nabla_\varepsilon y^n M_\gamma^m) dW^\alpha + \\ &+ g_{mn}(\nabla_\gamma y^m N_\varepsilon^n + \nabla_\varepsilon y^n N_\gamma^m + M_\gamma^m M_\varepsilon^n) dt + \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} g_{mn} (\nabla_\gamma y^m P_\varepsilon^n + \nabla_\varepsilon y^n P_\gamma^m) dt \} \quad (26)$$

with $|\gamma| = |\varepsilon| = i$ and expressions P_γ^m are recurrently defined by

$$P_k^m = \nabla_\ell^y \nabla_{A_\alpha} A_\alpha^m \cdot \nabla_k y^\ell - R(A_\alpha, \nabla_k y) A_\alpha; \quad (27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\gamma \cup \{k\}}^m &= \nabla_k P_\gamma^m + 2R_p^m{}_{\ell q} M_\gamma^p{}_\alpha (\nabla_k y^\ell) A_\alpha^q + \\ &+ (\nabla_s R_p^m{}_{\ell q}) (\nabla_\gamma y^p) (\nabla_k y^\ell) A_\alpha^q A_\alpha^s + R_p^m{}_{\ell q} (\nabla_\gamma y^p) (\nabla_k A_\alpha^\ell) A_\alpha^q + \\ &+ R_p^m{}_{\ell q} (\nabla_\gamma y^p) (\nabla_k y^\ell) (\nabla_{A_\alpha} A_\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Since in (28) $P_{\gamma \cup \{k\}}^m = \nabla_k P_\gamma^m + \dots$, the high order coefficient permits representation

$$\begin{aligned} P_\gamma^m &= \nabla_\ell \nabla_{A_\alpha} A_\alpha^m \cdot \nabla_\gamma y^\ell - R(A_\alpha, \nabla_\gamma y) A_\alpha + \\ &+ \sum_{\beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_s = \gamma, s \geq 2} K_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s} (\nabla_{\beta_1} y, \dots, \nabla_{\beta_s} y) \end{aligned}$$

with coefficients $K_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s}$, depending on A_0, A_α, R and their covariant derivatives.

In the same way, due to (23)-(25), we have similar asymptotic

$$M_\gamma^m{}_\alpha = \nabla_\ell^y A_\alpha^m [\nabla_\gamma y^\ell] + \sum_{\beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_s = \gamma, s \geq 2} K'_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s} (\nabla_{\beta_1} y, \dots, \nabla_{\beta_s} y); \quad (29)$$

$$N_\gamma^m = \nabla_\ell^y A_\alpha^0 [\nabla_\gamma y^\ell] + \sum_{\beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_s = \gamma, s \geq 2} K''_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s} (\nabla_{\beta_1} y, \dots, \nabla_{\beta_s} y)$$

with multilinear coefficients K', K'' , depending on A_0, A_α, R and their covariant derivatives.

Therefore from (26) the principal part of differential is

$$\begin{aligned} d\|\nabla^{(i)} y_t^x\|^2 &= 2\langle \nabla^{(i)} y, \nabla_\ell^y A_\alpha [\nabla^{(i)} y^\ell] \rangle dW^\alpha + \\ &+ \{ 2\langle \nabla^{(i)} y, \nabla_\ell^y \widetilde{A}_0 [\nabla^{(i)} y^\ell] \rangle + \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \|\nabla A_\alpha [\nabla^{(i)} y]\|^2 - \\ &- \sum_{\alpha=1}^d \langle R(A_\alpha, \nabla^{(i)} y) A_\alpha, \nabla^{(i)} y \rangle \} dt + \\ &+ \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_s = i, s \geq 2} \langle \nabla^{(i)} y, \{ K_{j_1, \dots, j_s, \alpha}^1 (\nabla^{(j_1)} y, \dots, \nabla^{(j_s)} y) dW^\alpha + \\ &+ K_{j_1, \dots, j_s}^2 (\nabla^{(j_1)} y, \dots, \nabla^{(j_s)} y) dt \} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

i.e. the dissipativity condition arises in the principal part. Like before the coefficients K^1, K^2 depend on covariant derivatives of A_0, A_α, R .

Using asymptotic (30) we come to the dissipativity condition (9) in principal part and additional terms with lower order variations

$$\begin{aligned}
h(t) &= \mathbf{E} \|\nabla^{(i)} y_t^x\|^{2q} \leq h(0) + \\
&+ K \mathbf{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla^{(i)} y_t^x\|^{2(q-1)} \{\text{dissipativity}\}_{C, C'}(\nabla^{(i)} y_t^x, \nabla^{(i)} y_t^x) dt + \\
+ \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_s = i, s \geq 2} \mathbf{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla^{(i)} y_t^x\|^{2(q-1)} \langle \nabla^{(i)} y, K_{j_1, \dots, j_s}(\nabla^{(j_1)} y, \dots, \nabla^{(j_s)} y) \rangle dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

By inequality $|x^{q-1}y| \leq |x|^q/q + (q-1)|y|^q/q$ and (10)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{E} \|\nabla^{(i)} y\|^{2(q-1)} \left| K_{i; j_1, \dots, j_s}(\nabla^{(i)} y; \nabla^{(j_1)} y, \dots, \nabla^{(j_s)} y) \right| \leq \\
&\leq \mathbf{E} (1 + \rho^2(o, y_t^x))^{\mathbf{k}/2} \|\nabla^{(i)} y\|^{2q-1} \|\nabla^{(j_1)} y\| \dots \|\nabla^{(j_s)} y\| \leq \\
&\leq C \mathbf{E} \|\nabla^{(i)} y\|^{2q} + C' \mathbf{E} (1 + \rho^2(o, y_t^x))^{q\mathbf{k}} \|\nabla^{(j_1)} y\|^{2q} \dots \|\nabla^{(j_s)} y\|^{2q}
\end{aligned}$$

with \mathbf{k} determined by nonlinearity parameters (10).

To transform the last term let us use the inductive assumption (20) for lower order variations. By Gronwall-Bellmann and Hölder inequalities (31) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
h(t) &\leq e^{Ct} h(0) + \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_s = i, s \geq 2} C' \int_0^t e^{C(t-s)} \mathbf{E} (1 + \rho^2(o, y_t^x))^{q\mathbf{k}} \times \\
&\times \|\nabla^{(j_1)} y_t^x\|^{2q} \dots \|\nabla^{(j_s)} y_t^x\|^{2q} \leq \\
&\leq e^{Ct} h(0) + \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_s = i, s \geq 2} e^{(C+C')t} \sup_{s \in [0, t]} \left(\mathbf{E} (1 + \rho^2(o, y_t^x))^{q\mathbf{k}r_0} \right)^{1/r_0} \times \\
&\times \prod_{p=1}^s \left(\mathbf{E} \|\nabla^{(j_p)} y_t^x\|^{2qr_p} \right)^{1/r_p} \leq \\
&\leq e^{(C+C'+2qM)t} \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_s = i, s \geq 2} (1 + \rho^2)^{q\mathbf{k}} \prod_{p=1}^s (1 + \rho^2)^{q(j_p-1)\mathbf{k}} \leq \\
&\leq e^{2qM't} (1 + \rho^2(o, y_t^x))^{q(i-1)\mathbf{k}},
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

which leads to (20).

Finally, let us show how to prove (19). Making assumption that the differential equation on the parallel transport $\mathbf{T}_a^z y_{t,h(a)}^{h,y_t^h(n)}$ of the high order variation has similar to (22) form:

$$\delta \left[\mathbf{T}_a^z y_{t,h(a)}^{h,y_t^h(n)} \right] = -\Gamma \left(\mathbf{T}_a^z y_{t,h(a)}^{h,y_t^h(n)}, \delta y_t^{h(b)} \right) + \sum_{\alpha} K_{\alpha}^{(n)}(z) \delta W^{\alpha} + L^{(n)}(z) dt, \quad (33)$$

the following relations are found: $\forall z \in [a, b]$

$$\begin{cases} \frac{D}{Dz} K_{\alpha}^z = R(\Psi^z, A_{\alpha}(y_t^{h(z)})) y_{t,h(z)}^{(1)}[h'(z)]; \\ \frac{D}{Dz} L^z = R(\Psi^z, A_0(y_t^{h(z)})) y_{t,h(z)}^{(1)}[h'(z)]. \end{cases} \quad (34)$$

with the initial data $K_{\alpha}^{(n)}(a) = M_{\alpha}^{(n)}$, $L^{(n)}(a) = N^{(n)}$ defined in (22)

due to $\mathbf{T}_a^a = Id$. These relations are proved in analogue to the proof of [3, Th.7]. Indeed, taking the integral version of the parallel

transport equation $\frac{D}{Dz} (\mathbf{T}_a^z y_{t,h(a)}^{h,y_t^h(n)}) = 0$, the expression $\frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\mathbf{T}_a^z y_{t,h(a)}^{h,y_t^h(n)})$ is written via the connection terms. The further application of Newton-

Leibnitz formula gives the local increments of $\mathbf{T}_a^z y_{t,h(a)}^{h,y_t^h(n)} - y_{t,h(a)}^{(n)}$ as the integrals on $[a, z]$ of these connection terms. Finally, calculating the Stratonovich differential of these integral formulas, comparing them with the representation (33) and proceeding further by scheme [2, (3.11)-(3.19)] the relation (34) is found.

After that the application of (16) to (34) leads to

$$\begin{cases} K_{\alpha}^{(n)}(z) = \mathbf{T}_a^z M_{\alpha}^{(n)} + \\ \int_a^z \mathbf{T}_u^z \left\{ R_{y_t^{h(u)}} \left(\mathbf{T}_a^u y_{t,h(a)}^{h,y_t^h(n)}, A_{\alpha}(y_t^{h(u)}) \right) y_{t,h(u)}^{(1)}[h'(u)] \right\} du; \\ L^{(n)}(z) = \mathbf{T}_a^z N^{(n)} + \\ \int_a^z \mathbf{T}_u^z \left\{ R_{y_t^{h(u)}} \left(\mathbf{T}_a^u y_{t,h(a)}^{h,y_t^h(n)}, A_0(y_t^{h(u)}) \right) y_{t,h(u)}^{(1)}[h'(u)] \right\} du \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

To obtain relation (19), by schemes of [1] and [7, Sect.4.4-4.5] the following two estimates on the continuity and regularity of variations are required: for any Lipschitz continuous path $h \in Lip([a, b], M)$

$$\mathbf{E} \left\| y_{t,h(b)}^{(n)} - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} y_{t,h(a)}^{(n)} \right\|_{T_{y_t}^{1,0} \otimes T_{h(b)}^{0,n}}^p \leq |b-a|^p \|h'\|_{L^\infty([a,b], TM)}^p e^{K_{p,n}t} \\ \times pol_{p,n} (1 + \rho(h(a), o) + |b-a| \cdot \|h'\|_{L^\infty([a,b], TM)}); \quad (36)$$

$$\mathbf{E} \left\| y_{t,h(b)}^{(n)} - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} y_{t,h(a)}^{(n)} - y_{t,h(b)}^{(n+1)} \left[\int_a^b \mathbf{T}_z^h h'(z) dz \right] \right\|_{T_{y_t}^{1,0} \otimes T_{h(b)}^{0,n}}^p \leq \\ \leq |b-a|^{2p} \|h'\|_{L^\infty([a,b], TM)}^{2p} e^{K_{p,n}t} \\ \times pol_{p,n} (1 + \rho(h(a), o) + |b-a| \cdot \|h'\|_{L^\infty([a,b], TM)}); \quad (37)$$

with some polynomials $pol_{p,n}(\cdot)$, depending on the order of nonlinearity \mathbf{k} (10), \mathbf{T}_z^h denoting the classical parallel transport of tensor along path h from $h(z)$ to $h(b)$.

By the theory of absolute continuous functions, estimate (36) leads to the existence of derivative $\frac{D}{Dz} \mathbf{T}_z^{h, y_t^h} y_{t,h(z)}^{(n)}$ and estimate (37) calculates this derivative, leading to (19).

To obtain estimate (36), let us first note, that by (29)

$$M_{\gamma}^m(b) - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} M_{\gamma}^m(a) = \nabla_\ell^y A_\alpha^m(y_t^{h(b)}) [\nabla_\gamma y_{t,h(b)}^\ell] - \\ - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} \left(\nabla_\ell^y A_\alpha^m(y_t^{h(a)}) [\nabla_\gamma y_{t,h(a)}^\ell] \right) + \\ + \sum_{\beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_s = \gamma, s \geq 2} \left\{ K_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s}^{r, h(b)} (\nabla_{\beta_1} y_{t,h(b)}, \dots, \nabla_{\beta_s} y_{t,h(b)}) - \right. \\ \left. - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} \left(K_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s}^{r, h(a)} (\nabla_{\beta_1} y_{t,h(a)}, \dots, \nabla_{\beta_s} y_{t,h(a)}) \right) \right\} = \\ = \nabla_\ell^y A_\alpha^m(y_t^{h(b)}) [\nabla_\gamma y_{t,h(b)}^\ell - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} \nabla_\gamma y_{t,h(a)}^\ell] + \\ + \left\{ \nabla_\ell^y A_\alpha^m(y_t^{h(b)}) - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} \left[\nabla_\ell^y A_\alpha^m(y_t^{h(a)}) \right] \right\} [\mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} \nabla_\gamma y_{t,h(a)}^\ell] +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{\beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_s = \gamma, s \geq 2} \left\{ K_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s}^{r', h(b)} - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} K_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s}^{r', h(a)} \right\} (\nabla_{\beta_1} y_{t, h(b)}, \dots, \nabla_{\beta_s} y_{t, h(b)}) + \\
 & + \sum_{\beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_s = \gamma, s \geq 2} \sum_{j=1}^s \left[\mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} K_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s}^{r', h(a)} \right] \left(\mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} \nabla_{\beta_1} y_{t, h(a)}, \dots, \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} \nabla_{\beta_{j-1}} y_{t, h(a)}, \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \nabla_{\beta_j} y_{t, h(b)} - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} \nabla_{\beta_j} y_{t, h(a)}, \nabla_{\beta_{j+1}} y_{t, h(b)}, \dots, \nabla_{\beta_s} y_{t, h(b)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Due to (16) and the first order regularity of process y_t^x on initial data (18), multiples $\nabla_t^y A_\alpha^m(y_t^{h(b)}) - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} \nabla_t^y A_\alpha^m(y_t^{h(a)})$ and $K_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s}^{r', h(b)} - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} K_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s}^{r', h(a)}$ are represented as integrals on $[a, b]$ with linear dependence on factor h' . Thus, by equations (22), (29), (33) and (35), the principal parts of equations on the continuity difference $\epsilon_t^{(n)} = y_{t, h(b)}^{(n)} - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} y_{t, h(a)}^{(n)}$ has form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta(\epsilon_t^{(n)}) &= -\Gamma(\epsilon_t^{(n)}, \delta y_t^{(h(b))}) + \\
 &+ \sum_\alpha \left\{ \nabla A_\alpha[\epsilon_t^{(n)}] + P_\alpha^{(n)}(A_\alpha, R, \epsilon^{(1)}, \dots, \epsilon^{(n-1)}) \right\} \delta W^\alpha + \\
 &+ \left\{ \nabla A_0[\epsilon_t^{(n)}] + P_\alpha^{(n)}(A_\alpha, R, A_0, \epsilon^{(1)}, \dots, \epsilon^{(n-1)}) \right\} dt,
 \end{aligned}$$

with linear with respect to factor h' and integral on $[a, b]$ terms $P_\alpha^{(n)}, P_0^{(n)}$, depending in the polynomial way of coefficients A_α, A_0 , curvature R and their covariant derivatives.

Therefore, proceeding like in the previous part of the proof (30)-(32), singling out the dissipativity condition and using $e_0^{(n)} = 0$, the inequality (36) is proved in the inductive on the order of variation way.

Similar, but more bookkeeping arguments work for the differentiability difference $\Delta_t^{(n)} = y_{t, h(b)}^{(n)} - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} y_{t, h(a)}^{(n)} - y_{t, h(b)}^{(n+1)} \left[\int_a^b \mathbf{T}_z^h h'(z) dz \right]$ in (37), however there are applied relation like

$$\begin{aligned}
 & S_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s}(y_t^{h(b)}) - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} S_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s}(y_t^{h(b)}) - \\
 & - \nabla^y S_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s}(y_t^{h(b)}) \left[\int_a^b \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} y_{t, h(z)}^{(1)} [h'(z)] dz \right] = \\
 & = \int_a^b \nabla^y S_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s}(y_t^{h(z)}) \left[\mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h} y_{t, h(z)}^{(1)} [h'(z)] \right] dz -
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -\nabla^y S_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s}(y_t^{h(b)}) \left[\int_a^b \mathbf{\Gamma}_a^{h, y_t^h} y_{t, h(z)}^{(1)} [h'(z)] dz \right] = \\
 & = \int_a^b dz \int_z^b du \left(\mathbf{\Gamma}_u^{h, y_t^h} \nabla^y \nabla^y S_{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_s}(y_t^{h(u)}) \right) \times \\
 & \quad \times \left[\mathbf{\Gamma}_u^{h, y_t^h} \left(y_{t, h(u)}^{(1)} [h'(u)] \right), y_{t, h(z)}^{(1)} [h'(z)] \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

to conclude that the differentials of difference expressions have form

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta(\Delta_t^{(n)}) &= -\Gamma(\epsilon_t^{(n)}, \delta y_t^{h(b)}) + \\
 &+ \sum_{\alpha} \left\{ \nabla A_{\alpha}[\Delta_t^{(n)}] + Q_{\alpha}^{(n)}(A_{\alpha}, R, \Delta^{(1)}, \dots, \Delta^{(n-1)}) \right\} \delta W^{\alpha} + \\
 &+ \left\{ \nabla A_0[\epsilon_t^{(n)}] + Q_{\alpha}^{(n)}(A_{\alpha}, R, A_0, \Delta^{(1)}, \dots, \Delta^{(n-1)}) \right\} dt
 \end{aligned}$$

with quadratic with respect to factor h' and integral on $[a, b]^2$ multiples $Q_{\alpha}^{(n)}, Q_0^{(n)}$. Due to $\Delta_0^{(n)} = 0$ this leads to (37) with powers $2p$ in the r.h.s. ■

3.Proof of C^{∞} -regularity of semigroup P_t (Theorem 1).

First we are going to obtain the representation formula for derivatives of semigroup via new type variations (7).

Theorem 4. *For any $f \in C_q^n(M)$ the semigroup $P_t f$ is n -times continuously differentiable on x for any $t \geq 0$. Its high order derivatives are defined by (7).*

Proof. Introduce notations

$$\delta_m(f, x, t) = \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_{\ell} = m, \ell \geq 1} \mathbf{E} \langle \nabla_{y_t^x}^{(j_1)} f(y_t^x), \nabla^{(j_1)} y_t^x \otimes \dots \otimes \nabla^{(j_{\ell})} y_t^x \rangle_{T_{y_t^x}^{0, \ell} M} \tag{38}$$

for the left hand sides of (7). First we are going to demonstrate that for any $f \in C_q^n(M)$ expressions $\delta_m(f, x, t) \in T_x^{0, m} M$ are continuous on $x \in M$ for any $m = 1, \dots, n, t \geq 0$.

Let $h \in Lip([a, b], M)$ be any Lipschitz path. Let's apply (20) to find majorant function for terms under expectation \mathbf{E} in $[a, b] \ni z \rightarrow \delta_m(f, h(z), t)$. From (18) and $\|\nabla_x \rho(x, o)\| \leq 1$ follows estimate

$$\rho(o, y_t^{h(z)}) \leq \rho(o, y_t^{h(a)}) + \int_a^z \|\nabla_{y_t^{h(\theta)}} \rho(o, y_t^{h(\theta)})\| \cdot \left\| \frac{dy_t^{h(\theta)}}{d\theta} \right\| d\theta \leq$$

$$\leq \rho(o, y_t^{h(a)}) + \int_a^b \|\nabla^{(1)} y_t^{h(\theta)}\| \cdot \|h'(\theta)\| d\theta.$$

Due to $f \in C_{\bar{q}}^n(M)$ it leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^{(\ell)} f(y_t^{h(z)})\| &\leq \|f\|_{C_{\bar{q}}^n} p_\ell(\rho^2(o, y_t^{h(z)})) \leq \\ &\leq K_{p_\ell} \|f\|_{C_{\bar{q}}^n} \left(1 + \rho(o, y_t^{h(z)})\right)^{2 \deg(p_\ell)} \leq \\ &\leq K_{p_\ell} \|f\|_{C_{\bar{q}}^n} \left(1 + \rho(o, y_t^{h(a)}) + \|h'\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \int_a^b \|\nabla^{(1)} y_t^{h(\theta)}\| d\theta\right)^{2 \deg(p_\ell)} \end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

and the last expression provides uniform on $z \in [a, b]$ majorant, which is integrable due to estimates (20) and (21).

In a similar way we find majorant for variational processes in expression $\delta_m(f, h(z), t)$, $z \in [a, b]$. Due to (19)

$$\begin{aligned} \forall z \in [a, b] \quad \|\nabla^{(j)} y_t^{h(z)}\|_{y_t^{h(z)}} &\leq \\ &\leq \|\nabla^{(j)} y_t^{h(a)}\|_{y_t^{h(a)}} + \|h'\|_{L^\infty[a,b]} \int_a^b \|\nabla^{(\ell+1)} y_t^{h(\theta)}\|_{y_t^{h(\theta)}} d\theta \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

and the right hand side of (40) is integrable in any power due to (20).

Property (19) and majorants (39),(40) lead to a.e. continuity on parameter $z \in [a, b]$ of expressions under expectation \mathbf{E} in $\delta_m(f, h(z), t)$, $m = 0, \dots, n$ for $f \in C_{\bar{q}}^n(M)$. The further application of Lebesgue majorant theorem demonstrates the continuity of mappings

$$[a, b] \ni z \rightarrow \delta_m(f, h(z), t), \quad m = 0, \dots, n,$$

for any Lipschitz path $h \in Lip([a, b], M)$ and $f \in C_{\bar{q}}^n(M)$.

Since such continuity along paths h represents one of possible characterizations of continuous mappings, we conclude the a.e. continuity of expressions δ_m

$$\text{mapping } M \ni x \rightarrow \delta_m(f, x, t) \in T^{0,m}M \text{ is continuous}$$

for any $f \in C_{\bar{q}}^n(M)$ and $t \geq 0$, $m = 0, \dots, n$.

Now we can recurrently prove the required relation $\nabla^{(m)} P_t f(x) = \delta_m(f, x, t)$.

Base of recurrence ($m = 1$). Using representation $P_t f(x) = \mathbf{E}f(y_t^x)$ and (41) for $\ell = 0$ we obtain

$$P_t f(h(b)) - P_t f(h(a)) = \mathbf{E} \left[f(y_t^{h(b)}) - f(y_t^{h(a)}) \right] =$$

$$= \mathbf{E} \int_a^b \langle \nabla f(y_t^{h(z)}), \mathbb{W}^{(1)} y_t^{h(z)} [h'(z)] \rangle dz.$$

Due to the existence of majorants (39) and (40) for $\ell = 1$, the expectation and integral can be changed in order. We obtain that for any $h \in Lip([a, b], M)$

$$P_t f(h(b)) - P_t f(h(a)) = \int_a^b \mathbf{E} \langle \nabla f(y_t^{h(z)}), \mathbb{W}^{(1)} y_t^{h(z)} [h'(z)] \rangle dz$$

and by the theory of absolutely continuous functions conclude the existence of derivative

$$\frac{dP_t f(h(z))}{dz} = \mathbf{E} \langle \nabla f(y_t^{h(z)}), \mathbb{W}^{(1)} y_t^{h(z)} [h'(z)] \rangle = \langle \delta_1(f, h(z), t), h'(z) \rangle.$$

Since $\delta_1(f, x, t)$ is continuous on x , this leads to the existence of continuous first order derivative $\nabla P_t f(x)$ and identity $\nabla_x P_t f(x) = \delta_1(f, x, t)$.

Recurrence step. Suppose that we already proved relation $\nabla_x^{(\ell)} P_t f(x) = \delta_\ell(f, x, t)$ for any $\ell = 0, \dots, m < n$. Let us show it for $m + 1$.

First note that from property $\frac{dy_t^{h(z)}}{dz} = \mathbb{W}^{(1)} y_t^{h(z)} [h'(z)]$ (18) and a.e. relations (19) follows a.e. relation

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \ell = \overline{0, n-1} \quad \nabla^{(\ell)} f(y_t^{h(b)}) - \mathbf{T}_a^{h, y_t^h b} \left[\nabla^{(\ell)} f(y_t^{h(a)}) \right] &= \\ = \int_a^b \mathbf{T}_z^{h, y_t^h} \left(\nabla^{(\ell+1)} f(y_t^{h(z)}) \left[\mathbb{W}^{(1)} y_t^{h(z)} [h'(z)] \right] \right) dz & \quad (41) \end{aligned}$$

for any $f \in C_0^n(M)$. Taking cutoffs $f\chi_U$ with $\chi_U|_U = 1$, $\chi_U \in C_0^\infty(M, [0, 1])$ and tending $U \nearrow M$, representation (41) can be closed to any $f \in C_q^n(M)$.

Consider the corresponding difference

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla^{(m)} P_t f(h(b)) - \mathbf{T}_a^h \left[\nabla^{(m)} P_t f(h(a)) \right] = \\ = \mathbf{E} \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_\ell = m, \ell \geq 1} & \left[\langle \nabla^{(\ell)} f(y_t^{h(b)}), [\mathbb{W}^{(j_1)} y_t^{h(b)}] \otimes \dots \otimes [\mathbb{W}^{(j_\ell)} y_t^{h(b)}] \rangle_{T_{y_t^{h(b)}}^{0, \ell}} - \right. \\ & \left. - \mathbf{T}_a^h \langle \nabla^{(\ell)} f(y_t^{h(a)}), [\mathbb{W}^{(j_1)} y_t^{h(a)}] \otimes \dots \otimes [\mathbb{W}^{(j_\ell)} y_t^{h(a)}] \rangle_{T_{y_t^{h(a)}}^{0, \ell}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Relations (41) and (19) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_\ell=m, \ell \geq 1} \left[\langle \nabla^{(\ell)} f(y_t^{h(b)}), [\nabla^{(j_1)} y_t^{h(b)}] \otimes \dots \otimes [\nabla^{(j_\ell)} y_t^{h(b)}] \rangle - \right. \\ & \left. - \mathbf{T}_a^b \langle \nabla^{(\ell)} f(y_t^{h(a)}), [\nabla^{(j_1)} y_t^{h(a)}] \otimes \dots \otimes [\nabla^{(j_\ell)} y_t^{h(a)}] \rangle \right] = \\ & = \int_a^b \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_\ell=m+1, \ell \geq 1} \mathbf{T}_z^b \left[\langle \nabla^{(\ell)} f(y_t^{h(z)}), [\nabla^{(j_1)} y_t^{h(z)}] \otimes \dots \right. \\ & \quad \left. \otimes [\nabla^{(j_\ell)} y_t^{h(z)}] \rangle [h'(z)] \right] dz, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. recover the structure of integrand in (38).

The existence of majorants (39) and (40) permits to change the order of integration and expectation, leading to

$$\nabla^{(m)} P_t f(h(b)) - \mathbf{T}_a^b [\nabla^{(m)} P_t f(h(a))] = \int_a^b \mathbf{T}_z^b [\delta_{m+1}(f, h(z), t) [h'(z)]] dz.$$

Therefore the mapping $[a, b] \ni z \rightarrow \mathbf{T}_z^b [\nabla^{(m)} P_t f(h(z))]$ is absolutely continuous with derivative

$$\frac{d \mathbf{T}_z^b [\nabla^{(m)} P_t f(h(z))]}{dz} = \mathbf{T}_z^b [\delta_{m+1}(f, h(z), t) [h'(z)]] .$$

Since $\delta_{m+1}(f, x, t)$ is continuous on x , we conclude that the $(m+1)^{th}$ derivative of semigroup is represented by $\delta_{m+1}(f, x, t)$. ■

The final step of the proof of Theorem 4 lies in the verification of estimate (13). It follows the scheme of [2, Th.15] with application of estimates (20) instead of nonlinear estimates on variations.

Theorem 5. *Under conditions of Theorem 1 estimate (13) holds.*

Proof. We apply (20) and (21) to estimate the corresponding

seminorms

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\|(\nabla^x)^i P_t f(x)\|_{T_x^{(0,i)}}}{q_i(\rho^2(x, o))} \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_\ell, \ell \geq 1} \frac{\|\mathbf{E} \langle (\nabla^y)^\ell f(y_t^x), \nabla^{(j_1)} y_t^x \otimes \dots \otimes \nabla^{(j_\ell)} y_t^x \rangle_{T_y^{(0,i)}}\|_{T_x^{(0,i)}}}{q_i(\rho^2(x, o))} \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_\ell, \ell \geq 1} \left(\sup_{y_t^x \in M} \frac{\|(\nabla^y)^\ell f(y_t^x)\|_{T_y^{(0,\ell)}}}{q_\ell(\rho^2(y_t^x, o))} \right) \times \\
& \times \frac{\mathbf{E} q_\ell(\rho^2(y_t^x, o)) \|\nabla^{(j_1)} y_t^x\| \dots \|\nabla^{(j_\ell)} y_t^x\|}{q_i(\rho^2(x, o))} \leq \|f\|_{C_q^n} \times \\
& \times \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_\ell, \ell \geq 1} \frac{(\mathbf{E} q_\ell^{\ell+1}(\rho^2(y_t^x, o)))^{1/(\ell+1)} \prod_{m=1}^{\ell} (\mathbf{E} \|\nabla^{(j_m)} y_t^x\|^{\ell+1})^{1/(\ell+1)}}{q_i(\rho^2(x, o))} \leq \\
& \leq K^2 e^{M't} \|f\|_{C_q^n} \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_\ell, \ell \geq 1} \frac{q_\ell(\rho^2(x, o)) \prod_{m=1}^{\ell} (1 + \rho^2(x, o))^{\mathbf{k}(j_m-1)/2}}{q_i(\rho^2(x, o))} \leq \\
& \leq K^2 e^{M't} \|f\|_{C_q^n} \sum_{j_1+\dots+j_\ell, \ell \geq 1} \frac{q_\ell(\rho^2(x, o)) (1 + \rho^2(x, o))^{\mathbf{k}(i-\ell)/2}}{q_i(\rho^2(x, o))},
\end{aligned}$$

leading to hierarchy (11). Above we also applied that for $q_i \geq 1$ of polynomial behaviour there is K such that $\frac{1}{K}(1+b)^{\deg(q_i)} \leq q_i(b) \leq K(1+b)^{\deg(q_i)}$, so from (21) follows

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{E} [q_i(\rho^2(o, y_t^x))]^n \leq K^n \mathbf{E} [1 + \rho^2(o, y_t^x)]^{n \cdot \deg(q_i)} \leq \\
& \leq K^n e^{n \cdot \deg(q_i) M t} [1 + \rho^2(o, x)]^{n \cdot \deg(q_i)} \leq K^{2n} e^{n \cdot \deg(q_i) M t} q_i(\rho^2(o, x)). \blacksquare
\end{aligned}$$

1. Antoniouk A. Val, Antoniouk A. Vict., Nonlinear estimates approach to the regularity properties of diffusion semigroups, In *Nonlinear Analysis and Applications: To V.Lakshmikantham on his 80th birthday*, in two volumes, Eds. Ravi P. Agarwal and Donal O'Regan, Kluwer, 2003, vol.1, 165-226 pp.
2. Antoniouk A. Val, Antoniouk A. Vict., Regularity of nonlinear flows on non-compact Riemannian manifolds: differential vs. stochastic geometry or what kind of variations is natural?, *Ukrainian Math. Journal.* – 2006. – **58**, N 8. – p. 1011-1034.

3. *Antoniouk A.Val., Antoniouk A.Vict.*, Nonlinear calculus of variations for differential flows on manifolds: geometrically correct introduction of covariant and stochastic variations. – Ukrainian Math. Bulletin. – 2004. – **1**, N 4. – p. 449-484.
4. *Antoniouk A.Val.* Upper bounds on second order operators, acting on metric function. // Ukrainian Math. Bulletin – 2007. – **4**, N 2. – p. 163-172.
5. *Antoniouk A.Val., Antoniouk A.Vict.*, Non-explosion for nonlinear diffusions on noncompact manifolds // *Ukrainian Math. Journal*, - 2007. - **59**, N 11. - 1454-1472.
6. *Antoniouk A.Val., Antoniouk A.Vict.*, Continuity with respect to initial data and absolute continuity approach to the first order regularity of nonlinear diffusions on noncompact manifold // to appear in *Ukrainian Math. Journal*, 15 pp.
7. *Antoniouk A.Val., Antoniouk A.Vict.*, Nonlinear effects in the regularity problems for infinite dimensional evolutions of classical Gibbs systems. – Kiev: Naukova Dumka (in Russian), 2006.
8. *Belopolskaja Ya.I., Daletskii Y.L.* Stochastic equations and differential geometry. – Berlin: Kluwer Acad. Publ., 1996.
9. *Hsu E.P.* Stochastic Analysis on Manifolds. – Rhode Island: American Math. Soc., 2002.– Graduate studies in Mathematics. – **38**.
10. *Krylov N.V., Rozovskii B.L.* On the evolutionary stochastic equations. // Contemporary problems of Mathematics: Moscow: VINITI, 1979. – **14**. – p. 71-146.
11. *Kunita H.* Stochastic flows and stochastic differential equations. – Cambridge Uni. Press, 1990.
12. *Pardoux E.* Stochastic partial differential equations and filtering of diffusion processes. // Stochastics. – 1979. – **3**. – p. 127-167.
13. *Stroock D.* An introduction to the analysis of paths on a Riemannian manifold. – AMS Math. surveys and monographs, 2002. – **74**.

*Department of Nonlinear Analysis, Institute of
Mathematics NAS Ukraine,
Tereschenkivska str. 3, 01601 MSP Kiev-4, Ukraine
antoniouk@imath.kiev.ua*

Received 15.05.07